

HydraSafe 4" Structural Steel Crosshead FEA

2024/03/25

This report represents data as a result of Finite Element Analysis studies run by DiMonte Group Inc. for its client. This data is accurate to the best of our knowledge, based on parameters calculated and supplied by our client. None of the data in this report can be interpreted as a guarantee or warranty of the product design analyzed.

Purpose of Sling Crosshead FEA

The purpose of the FEA is to show the Stress, Displacement and FOS for the structural steel crosshead.



This report represents data as a result of Finite Element Analysis studies run by DiMonte Group Inc. for its client. This data is accurate to the best of our knowledge, based on parameters calculated and supplied by our client. None of the data in this report can be interpreted as a guarantee or warranty of the product design analyzed.



Industrial Design Mechanical Engineering Electrical Engineering Software Development



Required FOS for crosshead

Per ASME A17.1-2016/CSA B44-16 2.24.3

FOS for the brake and its components is 3.5x

Required max stress for the crosshead is 27,500 psi (~1.3x FOS)

2.19.4 Emergency Brake Supports

All components and structural members, including their fastenings, subjected to forces due to the application of the emergency brake shall be designed to withstand the maximum forces developed during the retardation phase of the emergency braking so that the resulting stresses shall not exceed those permitted for the applicable type of equipment as follows:

(a) machinery and sheave beams (see 2.9.6)

(b) guide rails and their supports (see 2.23.5.3)

(c) counterweight frames (see 2.21.2.3.3)

(*d*) car frames (see 2.15.10.2)

(e) machines, sheaves, and bedplates (see 2.24.3.2)

2.15.10.2 Car frame members, brackets, and their connections subject to forces due to the application of the emergency brake (see 2.19.4) shall be designed to withstand the maximum forces developed during the retardation phase of the emergency braking so that the resulting stresses due to the emergency braking and all other loading acting simultaneously, if applicable, shall not exceed 190 MPa (27,500 psi).

2.19.3 Emergency Brake (See Nonmandatory Appendix F)

2.19.3.1 Where Required

2.19.3.2 Requirements. The emergency brake is permitted to consist of one or more devices and shall

(i) be designed so that the factors of safety based on the maximum stresses developed in the parts subject to load during the operation of the emergency brake shall comply with the following:

(1) Where an emergency brake is applied only when protecting against either an ascending car overspeed condition or unintended car movement with the car and hoistway doors open, the minimum factors of safety, when applied during the retardation phase of emergency braking, shall be not less than those specified in 2.17.12.1.

2.17.12 Minimum Factors of Safety and Stresses of Safety Parts and Rope Connections

2.17.12.1 Parts of safeties, except springs, safety-rope drums, leading sheaves, and their supporting brackets and safety-jaw gibs, shall have a factor of safety of not less than 3.5, and the materials used shall have an elongation of not less than 15% in a length of 50 mm (2 in.) when tested in accordance with ASTM E8. Forged, cast, or welded parts shall be stress relieved.

This report represents data as a result of Finite Element Analysis studies run by DiMonte Group Inc. for its client. This data is accurate to the best of our knowledge, based on parameters calculated and supplied by our client. None of the data in this report can be interpreted as a guarantee or warranty of the product design analyzed.



Industrial Design Mechanical Engineering Electrical Engineering Software Development



Structural steel and caliper casting materials

Channel and Beam Materials are made from hot roll material. These materials are most commonly A572, A588 and A36 steel. For this FEA, A36 steel was chosen as it has the lowest yield strength. The caliper casting is made from A352 LC2-1 steel.

Different Steel Compositions

In addition to choosing the right shape for your steel beams, it's important to consider the material itself. Most commonly, steel beams come in A572, A588, and

A36.

Source: www.bushwickmetals.com

Structural Steel Components A36 Yield Strength: 36,000psi **Caliper Casting Component**

A352 LC2-1 Yield Strength: 105,000psi

Source: www.makeitfrom.com

is report represents data as a result of Finite Element Analysis studies run by DiMonte Group Inc. for its client. This data is accurate to the best of our knowledge, based on parameters calculated and supplied by our client. None of the data in this report can be interpreted as a guarantee or warranty of the product design analyzed.



Industrial Design Mechanical Engineering Electrical Engineering Software Development



Bolt Material, Strength and Torque

For the purposes of fastener strength simulations, the tensile strength is used.

Grade 8 Bolts

A **grade 8 bolt** is the highest grade SAE bolt. Grade 8 bolts have a minimum tensile strength of 150,000 PSI. As a comparison, the ASTM A325 bolt is roughly equivalent to the SAE grade 5. A grade 8 bolt would be one that meets ASTM A490 standards.

Source: www.tfgusa.com

	τ	IS Bolts					
		Nominal	ts unal Range hes) Proof Load (psi) Min. Yield Strength (psi) hru 1- 2" 120,000 130,000	roperties			
Head Marking	Grade and Material	Size Range (inches)	Proof Load (psi)	Min. Yield Strength (psl)	Min. Tensile Strength (psi)		
6 Radial Lines	Grade 8 Medium carbon alloy steel, quenched and tempered	1/4" thru 1- 1/2"	120,000	130,000	150,000		

Source: www.boltdepot.com

This is not an installation torque spec. This is the highest expected torque spec used to confirm our bolt tension is safe.

GRADE 8									
Coarse Thread									
Size	Clamp Load	Plain (ft. Ibs.)	Plated (ft. lbs.)						
1/4-20 (.250)	2850	12	9						
5/16-18 (.3125)	4725	25	18						
3/8-16 (.375)	6975	44	33						
7/16-14 (.4375)	9600	70	52						
1/2-13 (500)	12750	106	80						
9/16-12 (.5625)	16350	153	115						
5/8-11 (625)	20325	212	159						
3/4-10 (.750)	30075	376	282						
7/8-9 (.875)	41550	606	454						
1-8 (1.000)	54525	909	682						
1 1/8-7 (1.125)	68700	1288	966						
1 1/4-7 (1.250)	87225	1817	1363						
1 3/8-6 (1.375)	103950	2382	1787						
1 1/2-6 (1.500)	126450	3161	2371						

Source: www.almabolt.com

This report represents data as a result of Finite Element Analysis studies run by DiMonte Group Inc. for its client. This data is accurate to the best of our knowledge, based on parameters calculated and supplied by our client. None of the data in this report can be interpreted as a guarantee or warranty of the product design analyzed.



Industrial Design Mechanical Engineering Electrical Engineering Software Development



FEA Setup - Model

The FEA model was set up using $\frac{1}{4}$ of the actual assembly, and then applying symmetry fixtures in the front and right planes.



This report represents data as a result of Finite Element Analysis studies run by DiMonte Group Inc. for its client. This data is accurate to the best of our knowledge, based on parameters calculated and supplied by our client. None of the data in this report can be interpreted as a guarantee or warranty of the product design analyzed.



Industrial Design Mechanical Engineering Electrical Engineering Software Development



FEA Setup - Connectors

Grade 8 fasteners are used at all bolt locations.



This report represents data as a result of Finite Element Analysis studies run by DiMonte Group Inc. for its client. This data is accurate to the best of our knowledge, based on parameters calculated and supplied by our client. None of the data in this report can be interpreted as a guarantee or warranty of the product design analyzed.



Industrial Design Mechanical Engineering Electrical Engineering Software Development



Updated Mounting Components

An update to the FEA was made to strengthen areas of stress.

Leveling washers were added and are made from ductile iron.

A crosshead washer plate was added and is made from A36 steel.



This report represents data as a result of Finite Element Analysis studies run by DiMonte Group Inc. for its client. This data is accurate to the best of our knowledge, based on parameters calculated and supplied by our client. None of the data in this report can be interpreted as a guarantee or warranty of the product design analyzed.



Industrial Design Mechanical Engineering Electrical Engineering Software Development



FEA Setup – Fixed Component

The stile was cut at 3' from the top and fixed at the base surface.



This report represents data as a result of Finite Element Analysis studies run by DiMonte Group Inc. for its client. This data is accurate to the best of our knowledge, based on parameters calculated and supplied by our client. None of the data in this report can be interpreted as a guarantee or warranty of the product design analyzed.



Industrial Design Mechanical Engineering

Electrical Engineering Software Development

face





Sling Crosshead FEA Brake Downward Forces

The 4,081 lb mass used in the FEA was calculated using an 8,162 lb moving mass and a 0.5 stopping reaction

force.



This report represents data as a result of Finite Element Analysis studies run by DiMonte Group Inc. for its client. This data is accurate to the best of our knowledge, based on parameters calculated and supplied by our client. None of the data in this report can be interpreted as a guarantee or warranty of the product design analyzed.



Industrial Design Mechanical Engineering Electrical Engineering Software Development



Setup – Downward Load

A braking load of 8,162 lb is used. A multiplier for 0.5g was added for an FEA load of 4,081 lbs. Since the model is in a $\frac{1}{4}$ section, $\frac{1}{4}$ of the 4,081 lb

was used.

A 1,020 lb load was applied in a Downward direction at the brake pad clamping location and transferred to the brake pad bolt holes in the caliper casting. The Downward force is used to simulate the car travelling in an Upward direction.



This report represents data as a result of Finite Element Analysis studies run by DiMonte Group Inc. for its client. This data is accurate to the best of our knowledge, based on parameters calculated and supplied by our client. None of the data in this report can be interpreted as a guarantee or warranty of the product design analyzed.



Industrial Design Mechanical Engineering Electrical Engineering Software Development



Stress Results - Crosshead Downward Load

Crosshead stress is ~3,000psi.



This report represents data as a result of Finite Element Analysis studies run by DiMonte Group Inc. for its client. This data is accurate to the best of our knowledge, based on parameters calculated and supplied by our client. None of the data in this report can be interpreted as a guarantee or warranty of the product design analyzed.



Industrial Design Mechanical Engineering Electrical Engineering Software Development



Displacement Results – Crosshead Downward Load

Crosshead displacement is ~0.002"



This report represents data as a result of Finite Element Analysis studies run by DiMonte Group Inc. for its client. This data is accurate to the best of our knowledge, based on parameters calculated and supplied by our client. None of the data in this report can be interpreted as a guarantee or warranty of the product design analyzed.



Industrial Design Mechanical Engineering Electrical Engineering Software Development



Factor of Safety Results – Crosshead Downward Load

Crosshead FOS is over 3.5X.



This report represents data as a result of Finite Element Analysis studies run by DiMonte Group Inc. for its client. This data is accurate to the best of our knowledge, based on parameters calculated and supplied by our client. None of the data in this report can be interpreted as a guarantee or warranty of the product design analyzed.



Industrial Design Mechanical Engineering Electrical Engineering Software Development



Factor of Safety Results – Bolts Downward Load

Applied appropriate preload to all bolts (e.g. 212 ft-lbs torque for 5/8") Increase load to 3.5x (3570 lbs downward) since we need a 3.5x FOS

• We're increasing load because factor-of-safety plots would incorrectly show an unloaded bolt failing due to installation torque alone

No bolts yield with 3.5x applied load

Study name: DOWNWARD FORCE ON CALIPER - PRELOAD

Highest load thru any bolt is 19,428 lbs (73,038 psi) which is well below the yield strength of 130,000 psi for a grade 8 bolt

Connector: Al	ll bolts			 Units 	English (IPS)	\sim				
Connector type	: Bolt	<	>							
Туре		X-Component	Y-Component	Z-Component	Resultant 🗸	Connector				
Axial Force (lbf	f)	0	19,428	0	19,428	Counterbore with Nut-17				
Axial Force (lbf	f)	0	19,242	0	19,242	Counterbore with Nut-18				
Axial Force (lbf	f)	18,817	0	0	18,817	Counterbore with Nut-15				
Axial Force (lbf	f)	18,806	0	0	18,806	Counterbore with Nut-14				
Axial Force (lbf	f)	18,728	0	0	18,728	Counterbore with Nut-16				
Axial Force (lbf	f)	18,723	0	0	18,723	Counterbore with Nut-13				
Axial Force (lbf	f)	18,474	0	0	18,474	Counterbore with Nut-12				
Axial Force (lbf	f)	18,298	0	0	18,298	Counterbore with Nut-1				
Axial Force (lbf	f)	0	12,643	0	12,643	Counterbore with Nut-19				
Axial Force (lbf	f)	0	12,338	0	12,338	Counterbore with Nut-21				
Axial Force (lbf	f)	0	12,274	0	12,274	Counterbore with Nut-20				
Axial Force (lbf	f)	0	12,273	0	12,273	Counterbore with Nut-22				
Shear Force (Ib	of)	0	-705.29	-344.83	785.07	Counterbore with Nut-16				
Change Farsa (lb			C00.CC	00.474	CO.4.44	Construction of the second states in the second				



This report represents data as a result of Finite Element Analysis studies run by DiMonte Group Inc. for its client. This data is accurate to the best of our knowledge, based on parameters calculated and supplied by our client. None of the data in this report can be interpreted as a guarantee or warranty of the product design analyzed.



Industrial Design Mechanical Engineering Electrical Engineering Software Development





Sling Crosshead FEA Brake Upward Forces

The 2,487.5 lb mass used in the FEA was calculated using an 4,975 lb moving mass and a 0.5 stopping reaction force.

Speed			S	topping	distand	e (ft) at	acceler	ation (g)				o o								
(ft/min)	0.05	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	1	v	$v^2 = u^2 + $	2as							
50	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0										
100	0.9	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	w	where:								
200	3.5	1.7	0.9	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	• •	a is the fin	alveloc	ity						
500	21.6	10.8	5.4	3.6	2.7	2.2	1.8	1.5	1.3	1.2	1.1			tial volc	ary,						
1000	86.3	43.1	21.6	14.4	10.8	8.6	7.2	6.2	5.4	4.8	4.3		a is the ac		on						
1500	194.1	97.0	48.5	32.3	24.3	19.4	16.2	13.9	12.1	10.8	9.7		a is the die		on,						
2000	345.1	172.5	86.3	57.5	43.1	34.5	28.8	24.6	21.6	19.2	17.3		a is the dis	placen	ient.						
												-									
		UT a trail of		111								stopping force (lbf)	tota	l stop	bing	react	ion to	rce oi	n rails	5	
E - ma		mass -	0162	aa Ib								35000		for a	give	en mo	ovingr	nass			moving
r – 111a		111035 -	0102	10																	mass (lb)
												30000								/	30000
Moving			Stop	ping rea	action fo	orce (lbf) at acce	eleration	n (g)			25000							/		25000
Mass (lb)	0.05	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	1	20000									20000
5000	250	500	1000	1500	2000	2500	3000	3500	4000	4500	5000	15000									15000
10000	500	1000	2000	3000	4000	5000	6000	7000	8000	9000	10000	10000									10000
15000	750	1500	3000	4500	6000	7500	9000	10500	12000	13500	15000	10000									- 10000
20000	1000	2000	4000	6000	8000	10000	12000	14000	16000	18000	20000	5000			_						- 5000
25000	1250	2500	5000	7500	10000	12500	15000	17500	20000	22500	25000	0				0.5		0.7			
20000	1500	2000	6000	0000	10000	15000	10000	21000	20000	22000	20000	0.1	0.2	0.3 0	.4 ar	0.5 celera	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	1
30000	1500	3000	6000	9000	12000	15000	18000	21000	24000	27000	30000				20		1011(6)				
4975	248.75	497.5	995	1492.5	1990	2487.5	2985	3482.5	3980	4477.5	4975										

This report represents data as a result of Finite Element Analysis studies run by DiMonte Group Inc. for its client. This data is accurate to the best of our knowledge, based on parameters calculated and supplied by our client. None of the data in this report can be interpreted as a guarantee or warranty of the product design analyzed.



Industrial Design Mechanical Engineering Electrical Engineering Software Development



Setup – Upward Load

A braking load of 4,795 lb is used. A multiplier for 0.5g was added for an FEA load of 2,487.5 lbs.

Since the model is in a $^{1}\!\!/_{4}$ section, $^{1}\!\!/_{4}$ of the 2,487.5 lb was used.

A 622 lb load was applied in an Upward direction at the brake pad clamping location and transferred to the brake pad bolt holes in the caliper casting.

The Upward force is used to simulate the car travelling in a Downward direction.



This report represents data as a result of Finite Element Analysis studies run by DiMonte Group Inc. for its client. This data is accurate to the best of our knowledge, based on parameters calculated and supplied by our client. None of the data in this report can be interpreted as a guarantee or warranty of the product design analyzed



Industrial Design Mechanical Engineering Electrical Engineering Software Development



Stress Results - Crosshead Upward Load

Crosshead stress is ~5,000psi.



This report represents data as a result of Finite Element Analysis studies run by DiMonte Group Inc. for its client. This data is accurate to the best of our knowledge, based on parameters calculated and supplied by our client. None of the data in this report can be interpreted as a guarantee or warranty of the product design analyzed.



Industrial Design Mechanical Engineering Electrical Engineering Software Development



Displacement Results – Crosshead Upward Load

Crosshead displacement is ~0.002"



This report represents data as a result of Finite Element Analysis studies run by DiMonte Group Inc. for its client. This data is accurate to the best of our knowledge, based on parameters calculated and supplied by our client. None of the data in this report can be interpreted as a guarantee or warranty of the product design analyzed.



Industrial Design Mechanical Engineering Electrical Engineering Software Development



Factor of Safety Results – Crosshead Upward Load

Crosshead FOS is over 3.5X.



This report represents data as a result of Finite Element Analysis studies run by DiMonte Group Inc. for its client. This data is accurate to the best of our knowledge, based on parameters calculated and supplied by our client. None of the data in this report can be interpreted as a guarantee or warranty of the product design analyzed.



Industrial Design Mechanical Engineering Electrical Engineering Software Development



Factor of Safety Results – Bolts Upward Load

Applied appropriate preload to all bolts (e.g. 212 ft-lbs torque for 5/8") Increase load to 3.5x (2177 lbs upward) since we need a 3.5x FOS

• We're increasing load because factor-of-safety plots would incorrectly show an unloaded bolt failing due to installation torque alone

No bolts yield with 3.5x applied load

Highest load thru any bolt is 19,443 lbs (73,094 psi) which is well below the yield strength of 130,000 psi for a grade 8 bolt

Study name: UPWARD FORCE ON CALIPER - PRELOAD											
Connector:	All bolts			∼ <u>U</u> nits	English (IPS)	\sim					
Connector type: Bolt>											
Туре		X-Component	Y-Component	Z-Component	Resultant 💎	Connector					
Axial Force	(lbf)	0	19,443	0	19,443	Counterbore with Nut-17					
Axial Force	(lbf)	0	19,288	0	19,288	Counterbore with Nut-18					
Axial Force	(lbf)	18,859	0	0	18,859	Counterbore with Nut-14					
Axial Force	(lbf)	18,727	0	0	18,727	Counterbore with Nut-13					
Axial Force	(lbf)	18,709	0	0	18,709	Counterbore with Nut-15					
Axial Force	(lbf)	18,640	0	0	18,640	Counterbore with Nut-16					
Axial Force	(lbf)	18,389	0	0	18,389	Counterbore with Nut-12					
Axial Force	(lbf)	18,268	0	0	18,268	Counterbore with Nut-1					
Axial Force	(lbf)	0	12,622	0	12,622	Counterbore with Nut-19					
Axial Force	(lbf)	0	12,399	0	12,399	Counterbore with Nut-20					
Axial Force	(lbf)	0	12,339	0	12,339	Counterbore with Nut-21					
Axial Force	(lbf)	0	12,291	0	12,291	Counterbore with Nut-22					
Shear Force	e (lbf)	0	1,310.2	87.736	1,313.2	Counterbore with Nut-1					
Shear Force	e (lbf)	0	711.46	-108.51	719.69	Counterbore with Nut-12					



This report represents data as a result of Finite Element Analysis studies run by DiMonte Group Inc. for its client. This data is accurate to the best of our knowledge, based on parameters calculated and supplied by our client. None of the data in this report can be interpreted as a guarantee or warranty of the product design analyzed.



Electrical Engineering Software Development

